

BISHOP'S ITCHINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of the Ordinary Parish Council Meeting 6 September 2021 at 7.30pm

Present

Cllr Dugmore (Chairman) Cllr Christian-Carter Cllr Gates
Cllr Kettle Cllr M Mann Cllr Thomas
Cllr Tressler

Absent

3 Vacant seats

In Attendance

Karen Stevens - Clerk to the Council

Public

0

21/108 Apologies

Cllr Christian-Carter for lateness

21/109 Declarations of Interest

None

21/110 Dispensations

None.

21/111 Minutes

- i. Ordinary Parish Council meeting held on 12 July 2021
It was **RESOLVED** to approve the minutes of the ordinary parish council meeting held on 12 July 2021 as a true and complete record of that meeting. (Proposed Cllr Thomas, seconded Cllr Mann, all in favour).
- ii. Extra Ordinary Parish Council meeting held on 19 July 2021
It was **RESOLVED** to approve the minutes of the extra ordinary parish council meeting held on 19 July 2021 as a true and complete record of that meeting. (Proposed Cllr Thomas, seconded Cllr Mann, all in favour).

21/112 Public Forum

Due to an absence of members of the public, no public forum was held

21/113 Planning Matters

- i. **21/02346/COUR**
Land off Ladbrooke Road, Bishop's Itchington – change of use from agricultural to Class E (commercial) and Class B (storage/distribution)
Delegated powers were used to respond to this application, which was to 'Object' due to highway impacts - access and increased traffic on what is a dangerous road.

ii. **21/02093/FUL**
42 Dadglow Road, Bishops Itchington – Single storey rear extension.
Delegated powers were used to respond to this application, which was one of ‘No Representation’.

iii. **21/01315/FUL**
2 Mansion Close, Bishop’s Itchington – Proposed erection of a conservatory on eastern wall of dwelling house.
Delegated powers were used to respond to this application, which was one of ‘No Representation’.

iv. **21/02580/FUL**
6 Plough Lane, Bishop’s Itchington – New enclosed porch to the front and new garage to the side of the existing dwelling.

It was **RESOLVED** to respond to the application as ‘no representation’. (Proposed Cllr Thomas, seconded Cllr Mann, 5 in favour and one abstention from Cllr Kettle).

v. **21/01868/FUL**
The Old Smithy, Fisher Road, Bishop’s Itchington – Single storey rear extension. Property located on the corner of Fisher Road and High Street.
The description is a single storey rear extension, but it is more than that having looked at it closely. Following the objection to the previous application, they have changed the floor plan, so the ‘L’ shaped extension no longer goes to the boundary therefore retaining more amenity space. There are no proposed elevations submitted and one of the changes is quite substantial – the current single storey element which was remaining single storey (part nearest the road) in the latest revisions is now double storey which is not clear from the drawings (the ridge is now continuous whereas previously it was stepped by half a storey). At face value, the plans show a two-bed house but, the spirit of the objection still stands in terms of that it still turns it into a three-bed house (although they have changed the description of snug/bedroom/living room to just ‘snug’). In principle, it is the same although they may have addressed it on technicality by shrinking part of it back by approximately half. The double height gable adjacent to the road is now a major concern as it will have an overbearing impact on the street scene as it will have a very imposing appearance. The previously identified concerns regarding no on-site parking and possibly water run off/surface water issues remain. Essentially, it is still a too big a development on a small plot/property.
There are also two different revisions mixed in the plans folder making it very confusing.

Floor plan has been reduced, therefore giving more amenity space. However, the question remains, is the second elevation acceptable but without any elevations the question cannot be answered?

It was **RESOLVED** to object to the application on the grounds of:

- a). Withdraw the current objections relating to parking and run off,
- b). Object to the massing effect of the extra storey which is being built on a current single storey element of the property.

c). Add the comment to clarify that that is not clear from the information provided but 'b)' has been inferred from the floor plans that do clearly show an extension to the floor plan in this area, and that the task of reaching this conclusion was made more difficult by the fact that there is a mix of Revision B and Revision C drawings, and the set of Revision C drawings is incomplete.

(Proposed Cllr Dugmore, seconded Cllr Tressler, five in favour, one against and one abstention from Cllr Kettle).

vi. **21/02732/FUL**

The Old Grain Barn, Mill Pit Farm, Hambridge Road, Bishop's Itchington – Two storey extension connected to main dwelling through glazed link, single storey garden room, double car port with roof terrace, dormer windows to dwelling and alterations to elevations.

The description of the proposed works is completely wrong – it has not been changed and therefore it leads one to think it is more than it is. Planning perspective – it is now a separate garage with workshop and hobby room and bathroom above. Concerns raised as to why a hobby room/workshop/garage requires a bathroom – this appears to lend itself to easy conversion into letting accommodation. It was suggested that if this is granted, SDC should attach the condition that it can only be used for the stated usage i.e., home office and not a dwelling.

It was **RESOLVED** to respond to this planning application as 'no representation' from a planning point of view but with a comment to the effect that if SDC is so minded to grant this that is a condition such as it is only used for the stated use and not used as a dwelling space. (Proposed Cllr Dugmore, seconded Cllr Christian-Carter, six in favour and one abstention from Cllr Kettle).

vii. **20/02839/FUL**

Land near to Bishop's Itchington – Construction of a solar farm (82.5ha) together with associated works, equipment, and necessary infrastructure.

Cllr Tressler was thanked for representing the parish council at the Planning Committee and stating our objection. The decision was very close (2 in favour, 3 against and 2 abstentions) but the outcome was for Stratford District Council (SDC) to object to the planning application.

21/114 County, District & Parish Liaison

SDC Cllr Kettle advised that the issues are mainly strategic:

- A ten-week public consultation will start next week regarding the merger of SDC and Warwick District Council (WDC) to form South Warwickshire District Council. Currently, each council currently has approximately 130,000 households with SDC employing approximately 300 staff and WDC, 500 staff. There are differences as SDC is mainly rural whilst WDC are urban and council tax is much higher in WDC. One of the key issues they are driving towards is to make sure any merger takes place on a digital platform and makes full use of anything we can to be accessible digitally. Three joint cabinet meetings have already been held. The parish council will need to make a response – this may require a separate meeting.

WCC Cllr Kettle advised that:

- Relatively quiet month due to holidays.
- Started the budget process.
- Working on a local unauthorised waste site that has attracted considerable concern locally – trying to find some sensible resolution.
- Taking a significant number of Afghan refugees in Warwickshire that will be spread around the 5 districts who will have the responsibility for accommodating them.

- Cllr Dugmore thanked Cllr Kettle for his quick response regarding Knightcote Road lighting installation – some background work is still ongoing to find out what has or has not happened. This is the alleged extension of the 30mph area and lighting down the Knightcote Road and it becomes a new 30 mph limit that must be lit in accordance with current highway standards, but it appears no public consultation has occurred, and the original application did not include a no condition for this extension. WCC officers have stopped all work and the road will be re-opened.
- St Michaels Close – Severn Trent are working there, and complaints have been received – Severn Trent has easement and have the right to maintain the easement and therefore WCC have no authority to stop them working. Following the completion of this, they will be moving to Plough Lane, and this will cause Plough Lane to be closed for approximately four weeks.

21/115 Finance

1 Monthly Financial Report

It was **RESOLVED** to accept the Monthly Finance Report ending 31 August 2021 (Appendix A). (Proposed Cllr Gates, seconded Cllr Kettle, all in favour).

2 Bank Reconciliation Reports for August 2021

The bank reconciliation report for August 2021 had been completed by the Clerk. Cllr Kettle and Cllr Gates have checked it and are happy with it.

3 Accounts for Payment

It was **RESOLVED** that the Accounts Payable on 6 September 2021 be authorised for payment by Cllrs Gates and Thomas (Appendix B). (Proposed Cllr Gates, seconded Cllr Thomas, all in favour).

4 Internal Audit Report 2020/21

Councillors were happy with the quality and content of the report from Trevor Gill and congratulated the clerk/RFO for enabling this to be achieved.

It was **RESOLVED** to accept the Internal Audit Report 2020/21. (Proposed Cllr Dugmore, seconded Cllr Christian-Carter, all in favour).

21/116 Councillor Vacancies

The parish council currently has 3 vacancies. It was suggested that a leaflet be produced and delivered to all the properties in the village and outlying farms/properties. The costs to produce an A5 flyer is likely to be in the region of £500 including professional artwork and printing. We can also put details on the web site/noticeboards/Facebook etc. It would be helpful to open some sort of dialogue with interested parties i.e., an informal meeting to explain in more detail as to what is involved in being a parish councillor. Another possible consideration would be to have a dedicated member for Bishop's Hill and the Clerk was asked to contact elections at SDC to see how this could be achieved. The

leaflet would need to be designed, turned into paper and electronic versions to cover all medias available to the parish council. Approximately 4 councillors would be required to deliver the leaflets (approximately 1,300 properties). An informal question and answer evening would be beneficial – date for a 'come and meet us' session to be put on the leaflet. Cllr Tressler volunteered to produce a draft for the next parish council meeting.

21/117 IT Support

It was **RESOLVED** to agree to a three-year IT support package with Edge IT Systems Ltd, at a cost of £360.00 plus VAT per annum. (Proposed Cllr Kettle, seconded Cllr Tressler, six in favour, one against).

21/118 Future of Covid19 Helpline

Dawn Mann has contacted the parish council regarding the future of the Helpline – the last time anyone had contacted it was in February 2021.

It was **RESOLVED** to close the Helpline, return the mobile phone to its owner, and retrieve the sim card in case the helpline needs to be resurrected in the future. (Proposed Cllr Thomas, seconded Cllr Tressler, all in favour).

21/119 Buffer Zone (between Parrish Close and Mandale Close)

This is the thin strip of land (70m x 5.5m) that is fenced in and runs to the rear of the properties on Parrish Close and Mandale Close. The parish council wanted to sell it, but SDC Planning has informed us that it must be retained. A suggestion was made to locate beehives on the land but due to the close proximity of the houses it would not be appropriate. It was agreed to defer the item until the next parish council meeting and in the meantime, Cllr's Dugmore and Mann would visit the site to look at the feasibility and possible cost of clearing the site (including tree roots) for the possible use as allotments.

21/120 Bishop's Itchington Eco/Climate/Sustainability Community Group

An email was received from a resident, Maddy Bailey, requesting support from the parish council in order to set up and receive support for such a community group.

It would be useful to have a dialogue with her to find out what she thinks we could do to help her, advise her as to what the parish council already does, and possibly offer a start-up grant. It was suggested that this be an area of work/involvement for one of the new councillors. In the meantime, it was suggested that this be an item on the October parish council meeting and that Maddy be invited to attend and give more details regarding the proposed group. This may be a way forward to deal with the buffer zone (21/199 above). Cllr Gates volunteered to contact her to start the discussion.

21/121 Hidden Green

Following discussions with SDC planning, the clerk had been advised that applying for planning permission for a shed on the site would be best once we are the owners of the land rather than leasing it from Orbit as currently. The Men's Shed has been advised of this and the Clerk has arranged a meeting with Scott Williams of Orbit on 29 September to discuss progressing the transfer of the Hidden Green to the parish council.

Linda Hassel has advised that they wish to establish a Friends of the Hidden Green group and are looking for a parish council representative to join the group – it was agreed that once the parish council own the land, this would be a sensible way forward and maybe an area of responsibility for one of the new councillors.

21/122 Maintenance of Pathway from Butchers Arms to Marlow Green Estate

Cllr Thomas had been approached by two residents regarding this pathway as they had cut back the vegetation themselves, but he has been advised that it is completely overgrown again. He is aware that the management company for Cala Homes should be maintaining the pathway but feels that the parish council should undertake the maintenance in the interim as we should not be relying on ad-hoc volunteers to keep it clear. A quote of £440 plus VAT has been received to undertake an initial cut back and then approximately £678.00 plus VAT per annum for maintenance. The path should be maintained by the management company on behalf of the estate built by Cala Homes. Cllr Kettle advised that he had walked up the pathway before the meeting and it was passable

although the pavement surface is cracking up. He has received an email signed by all the residents of Marlow Green, including two he has previously had lengthy meetings with, one of whom had provided him with a copy of their title deeds. The title deeds clearly set out that the maintenance of not only the foot path, but the public open space and the paved road area that each resident is responsible for 1/31st of these areas. Because of this, residents tried to block the path off by putting a padlock on it thus ensuring it was only accessed by residents of Marlow Green. The planning permission was not worded well so SDC went to legal counsel and determined that the footpath is a public footpath available for anyone to use. However, because Cala had not been prepared to bring the path/road/public open space up to a sufficient standard to be adopted, WCC was not prepared to take it on until met the required standards. It was made clear to residents that if they blocked the path, enforcement action would follow. The parish council did offer to take over responsibility, but Cala would not agree to pay the appropriate commuted sum. Because the residents agreed to shared responsibility, they received a reduction in their house prices to meet that liability and ongoing costs. If the parish council was to take it on, we would be removing the resident's liability which they are legally required to bare, and we would be liable if anything happens to anyone on that footpath including re-surfacing it. It is owned by Follett Property Holdings who own the land and permitted the path to go over their land and they still have the ability to move the route of the path if they wanted to. If the residents are paying a service charge within their property deeds, there will be a fund that assumingly has gone to Cala's management company – the challenge is to make the management company spend some of the funds to maintain the area.

It was **RESOLVED** that the parish council would not get involved in the maintenance of the footpath. (Proposed Cllr Dugmore, seconded Cllr Christian-Carter, six in favour, one against).

21/123 Stratford District Council – Gambling Policy

There are no legal gambling facilities in the village and therefore the policy is not relevant.

21/124 Public Consultation on a new Warwickshire Road Safety 'Strategy to 2030'

It appears to be more of a survey response (multi-choice) rather than a consultation therefore it was suggested that councillors should complete it individually. As a parish we would need to make the same multi-choice response – given the issues regarding traffic in the village, some response should be made by the parish.

It was **RESOLVED** that Cllr Dugmore would be delegated to complete the survey on behalf of the parish council (evidence base, needs based, appropriate enforcement in village areas). (Proposed Cllr Kettle, seconded Cllr Christian-Carter, all in favour).

21/125 Warwickshire Bus Service Improvement Plan (BSIP)

This is a survey and includes questions on how often you use the bus, what would entice you to use the bus etc. It was agreed to put the survey on social media and encourage residents to complete it.

21/126 Environment & Properties

1. Pavilion Project:

i. Update from the Working Group: Due to holidays, there is very little to report as the group has not met. Cllr Mann thanked the Clerk and Andrew Maliphant as they have been dealing with enquiries/questions regarding the tender for the pavilion. Cllr Mann has sent a message to the group saying until such times as we have information in front of us, no meetings will be held.

ii. Tender Opening: The tender process closes at midday on 22 September and tenders will need to be opened. It appears there may be five tenders. Due to the work Cllr Mann does for O'Brien's,

he advised that he does not wish to be involved in the tender opening/interview process. Andrew Maliphant, Cllr Dugmore and Cllr Thomas offered to undertake the necessary work.

iii. Interviews:

It was **RESOLVED** that Cllrs Dugmore, Gates and Tressler form the interview panel.
(Proposed Cllr Mann, seconded Cllr Kettle, all in favour).

iv. Funding Applications Update: Andrew Maliphant has provided the information that Jayne Warman requested regarding community surveys. Cllr Mann requested that any residents who are complaining about the disruption caused by HS2 send their complaints to the parish council so that the constructive complaints can be captured in the funding application to HS2. Jayne Warman has also received all the paperwork relating to the Public Works Loan. Cllr Kettle advised that the parish council had been unsuccessful in obtaining CIL funding from SDC – he has asked them to notify the Clerk as to why we were not successful and how this can be addressed for future applications.

2. Children’s Playground:

i. Play Area Inspection Report: The weekly inspection undertaken by Julian Kirton has advised that the balance beam is wobbly; and the assault climb is rotten. Cllr Thomas advised that the whole of the equipment is coming to the end of its lifespan and that a total re-think is required as everything needs renewing and therefore is a much bigger project – the meeting was reminded that a sum of £7,500 per annum for the next five years has been ring-fenced for the playground. Cllr Thomas will speak to Julian to establish whether the balance beam and assault climb need to be taken out of action.

ii. Seesaw Repair: Cllr Thomas was advised that the decision at the last meeting was for him to undertake the repair so that it was included in the ROSPA inspection.

iii. Annual Playground Inspection: The inspection has been booked with ROSPA and will be undertaken during September/October. The inspection will also include the playing field and hardcourts.

3. Hard Courts:

i. Replacement of tennis nets and posts: The nets/posts are the original ones installed in 2003 and now need to be replaced. Cllr Mann advised that Fenny Compton have just put in new ones that are extremely good – Cllr Mann to obtain details of supplier/costs.

ii. Deterioration of Surface: The surface is deteriorating in parts and will be prone to possibly more damage over the winter. The hardcourts will be inspected by ROSPA, and they will report on the state of the surface with recommendations. Possibility of obtaining a grant to cover costs of nets/posts/surface. It was agreed to discuss this once the inspection report has been received.

4. BINDP:

Cllr Thomas thanked Cllr Dugmore for all his hard work after almost re-writing the whole document. Cllr Thomas advised that the document is now ready to return to the consultants – Cllr Dugmore said that there were some key comments with questions, it needs to be reviewed/addressed before sending it off.

21/127 Reports and Questions

1. Planning – Cllr Christian-Carter asked whether Robert Weeks is still the head of planning at SDC as it appears he is now head of development services at Warwick. It was clarified that Development Services incorporates planning and that Robert Weeks is still head of planning at Stratford as part of his role.

2. Notice Boards – Whilst walking around a village in Leicestershire, Cllr Mann had seen that hanging below their noticeboards were litter pickers, a bag hoop, and bags for anyone to use (a photograph has been sent to the Clerk). This could be replicated here and may help to keep the village litter free.
3. Blue Pool – 30 young people were seen in the Blue Pool on Sunday. Cllr Dugmore is disappointed that Karl Curtis and Warwickshire Wildlife Trust have said that they will not change their policy regarding lifesaving aids in the vicinity of the Blue Pool and new signs have been put in situ, one of which has already been vandalised. There is a lifesaving aid by the Blue Pool on the road/new houses side as it was a condition of planning that one be put in. Warwickshire Wildlife Trust have the same policy at all the quarries within their nature reserves. The Clerk was asked to write to WWLT to say we are disappointed by their decision given the known risk of deep water following the previous tragedy and that their signs are already being vandalised a matter of days after being installed.
4. Village Phone Box – This has been raised in a discussion group and has generated some interest in the possibility of obtaining this under the adopt a kiosk scheme. As a phone box, it is likely to be decommissioned soon (13 calls in a year). There were a few suggestions like turning it into a book exchange like the one at Depper’s Bridge, somewhere to house another defibrillator etc,.. It is a more modern telephone box that has a power supply which may be able to be retained and then it would lend itself to house a de-fib. The cost to transfer it is £1.00 but we would need to look at whether any work is required as the phone box has a definite lean on it. Cllr Dugmore volunteered to investigate this.

21/128 Date of Next Meeting

The next ordinary meeting of the parish council is scheduled to take place on Monday, 11 October 2021 at 7.30pm at the Community Centre.

Meeting closed at 22:05

Signed.....Chairman Date.....

APPENDIX A

Financial Budget Comparison

Comparison between 01/04/21 and 06/09/21 inclusive.

Excludes transactions with an invoice date prior to 01/04/21

	Budget 2021/2022	Reserve Movements	Actual Net	Balance	
INCOME					
Bishops Itchington Parish Council					
10	Precept	£90,000.00	£0.00	£45,000.00	-£45,000.00
20	Council Tax Support Grant	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
30	Burials	£2,000.00	£0.00	£1,745.00	-£255.00
40	Sec 136 & Other Reimbursements	£1,300.00	£0.00	£0.00	-£1,300.00
50	Playing Field	£400.00	£0.00	£9.98	-£390.02
60	Interest	£100.00	£0.00	£1.98	-£98.02
70	Grants	£0.00	£0.00	£7,395.00	£7,395.00
80	Misc	£250.00	£0.00	£60.00	-£190.00
90	VAT Refund	£0.00	£0.00	£9,732.12	£9,732.12
100	Pavilion Fund	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Total Bishops Itchington Parish Council		£94,050.00	£0.00	£63,944.08	-£30,105.92
Total Income		£94,050.00	£0.00	£63,944.08	-£30,105.92
EXPENDITURE					
Bishops Itchington Parish Council					
200	Salaries & Expenses	£30,047.00	£0.00	£10,568.19	£19,478.81
210	Councillor Allowances	£500.00	£0.00	£0.00	£500.00
220	Administration	£7,320.00	£0.00	£3,289.42	£4,030.58
230	Grounds Maintenance	£21,914.00	£0.00	£9,656.63	£12,257.37
240	Cemetery & Churchyard	£5,340.00	£0.00	£1,087.31	£4,252.69
250	Playing Field	£10,692.00	£0.00	£508.11	£10,183.89
260	Grants	£7,500.00	£0.00	£6,894.80	£605.20
270	Neighbourhood Plan	£2,500.00	£0.00	£0.00	£2,500.00
280	Other Expenditure	£1,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£1,000.00
290	VAT	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
300	Contingency	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
310	Parish Office	£5,290.00	£0.00	£2,058.35	£3,231.65
320	Pavilion Project	£5,000.00	£0.00	£4,947.50	£52.50
Total Bishops Itchington Parish Council		£97,103.00	£0.00	£39,010.31	£58,092.69
Total Expenditure		£97,103.00	£0.00	£39,010.31	£58,092.69
Total Income		£94,050.00	£0.00	£63,944.08	-£30,105.92
Total Expenditure		£97,103.00	£0.00	£39,010.31	£58,092.69
Total Net Balance		-£3,053.00		£24,933.77	

APPENDIX B

Bishop's Itchington Parish Council						
Accounts Payable 6 September 2021						
To Whom Payable	Ref No	Ex Vat	Vat Payable	Totals		
<i>Urgent accounts paid since last meeting requiring the formal approval of the council</i>						
E Biddle (office rent 01/08/21)	s/order	£ 411.67	£ -	£ 411.67		
Onecom (phone & b/band 30/07/21)	d/debit	£ 63.73	£ 12.75	£ 76.48		
E.On (Pavilion electricity 23/07/21)	d/debit	£ 80.61	£ 4.03	£ 84.64		
Three (mobile simm 23/07/21)	d/debit	£ 4.17	£ 0.83	£ 5.00		
K Stevens (Expenses - tender advert 02/08/21)		£ 122.50	£ 24.50	£ 147.00		
V Powell (Expenses - ink cartridges 02/08/21)		£ 29.00		£ 29.00		
V Powell (Expenses - mobile top-up 02/08/21)		£ 10.00		£ 10.00		
Service Charge (09/08/21)		£ 12.91		£ 12.91		
J Kirton (Salary)	210801	£ 47.70	£ -	£ 47.70		
V Powell (Salary)	210802	£ 75.96	£ -	£ 75.96		
K Stevens (Salary)	210803	£ 1,317.76	£ -	£ 1,317.76		
WCC Pension Fund (July)	210804	£ 429.86	£ -	£ 429.86		
HMRC	210805	£ 337.12	£ -	£ 337.12		
AT Architects (fee instalment)	210806	£ 1,250.00	£ 250.00	£ 1,500.00		
Edge IT Systems Ltd (Antivirus one year licence)	210807	£ 35.28	£ 7.06	£ 42.34		
Edge IT Systems Ltd (Office 365 one year licence)	210808	£ 577.20	£ 115.44	£ 692.64		
Light Media (creation of archive pages)	210809	£ 85.00	£ 17.00	£ 102.00		
PWC (Bus shelter cleaning July)	210810	£ 45.00		£ 45.00		
PWC (Bus shelter cleaning August)	210811	£ 45.00		£ 45.00		
Thomas Fox Ltd (Mowing, Grass Cutting etc)	210812	£ 2,392.46	£ 478.50	£ 2,870.96		
Waterplus (Pavilion water supply)	210813	£ 19.47		£ 19.47		
Wicksteed Leisure Ltd	210814	£ 68.82	£ 13.76	£ 82.58		
SLCC (annual membership for clerk)	210815	£ 185.00		£ 185.00		
E Biddle (office rent 01/09/21)	s/order	£ 411.67	£ -	£ 411.67		
Onecom (phone & b/band 31/08/21)	d/debit	£ 63.73	£ 12.75	£ 76.48		
Three (mobile simm 23/07/21)	d/debit	£ 4.17	£ 0.83	£ 5.00		
	Sub-total	£ 8,125.79	£ 937.45	£ 9,063.24		
<i>Accounts for payment on 6 September 2021</i>						
J Kirton (Salary)	210901	£ 47.50	£ -	£ 47.50		
V Powell (Salary)	210902	£ 76.16	£ -	£ 76.16		
K Stevens (Salary)	210903	£ 1,317.76	£ -	£ 1,317.76		
WCC Pension Fund (August)	210904	£ 429.86	£ -	£ 429.86		
HMRC	210905	£ 337.32	£ -	£ 337.32		
Biffa (Waste Collection)	210906	£ 243.62	£ 48.72	£ 292.34		
SLCC Enterprises Ltd (Consultancy Charge)	210907	£ 1,060.00	£ 212.00	£ 1,272.00		
SLCC Enterprises Ltd (Consultancy Charge)	210808	£ 325.00	£ 65.00	£ 390.00		
Thomas Fox Ltd (Mowing, Grass Cutting etc)	210909	£ 1,972.53	£ 394.51	£ 2,367.04		
E-on (Pavilion Electric D/D)	210910	£ 44.35	£ 2.22	£ 46.57		
	Sub-total	£ 5,854.10	£ 722.45	£ 6,576.55		

