

# BISHOP'S ITCHINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

## Minutes of the Ordinary Parish Council Meeting 4 May 2021 at 7.45pm Remote Meeting

### Present

Cllr Dugmore (Chairman)	Cllr Bougoussa	Cllr Christian-Carter	Cllr Gates
Cllr Kettle	Cllr D Mann	Cllr M Mann	Cllr Thomas
Cllr Tressler			

### Absent

1 Vacant seat

### In Attendance

Karen Stevens - Clerk to the Council

### Public

7

### 21/52 Apologies

Cllr A Crump (WCC)

### 21/53 Declarations of Interest

Cllr M Mann declared an interest in 6 (ii) and advised that he would abstain from any discussion/ vote on the item.

### 21/54 Dispensations

None.

### 21/55 Minutes

It was **RESOLVED** to approve the minutes of the ordinary parish council meeting held on 8 March 2021 as a true and complete record of that meeting. (Proposed Cllr M Mann, seconded Cllr Christian-Carter, all in favour).

### 21/56 Public Forum

1. John Bolton commented on item 21/57 item ii, advising that although the geo-physics survey is welcomed as it has shown some very interesting facts to our village history, the document is incomplete as green waste was blocking the geo-physics machine and it was also too wet to use the equipment. This means that the area has still not been properly evaluated and therefore is still outside the national planning policy guidelines. The other report, archaeological survey/analysis has been produced by the developer and therefore cannot be trusted or seen as objective.
2. Andrew Cherrington commented on item 21/57 item i: 22 Butchers Close. Mr Cherrington explained that the extension is needed for health reasons as he tries to futureproof his property to allow him to

remain in the village. It is a two-storey extension to utilise the space both upstairs and downstairs. He explained that the change of use of land was because on the deeds this area of land is marked as curtilage. The marked area on the northside of the plans is the driveway that leads to his garage. He has been charged a fee by Stratford DC which includes a change of use to the land.

3. Carolyn Went spoke regarding item 11 on the agenda, the Memorial Hall. She advised that the Memorial Hall closed in March 2020 due to the Covid-19 lockdown/restrictions. The question regarding of who can/should register the land is still outstanding and this hampers the Committee applying for grants or obtaining charitable status. The heating and electrics have both failed the annual tests and need to be replaced. The Memorial Hall has undertaken fundraising and is now in a position to undertake the scaled down refurbishment version – re-wire, new heating system, redecorate and insulation (this would be undertaken in phases), but they are unable to cover the cost of VAT. A lot of the funding in their accounts is already committed (cottage account for the re-roofing and a new kitchen) and the cleaning costs for the building have risen considerably to enable them to be Covid-19 compliant. Carolyn stated that she was unable to convene a new committee as they are currently running by the original charitable status (which she believed had never been granted) and that required the committee to be made up of representatives of the various user groups. Carolyn also stated that the average age of the committee is 70+. Any help that the parish council can provide would be appreciated. Currently, it feels that the committee's fundraising has been in vain and that they are now worse off than before £50,000 was raised. The hall will be celebrating its 100<sup>th</sup> anniversary on 5 August.

It was agreed by council members to address this item immediately as it had come to light that some documentation for the grant application was missing as only a part of the accounts had been submitted. The application would require all of these to be in place before it can be considered. The parish council understood that the Memorial Hall's Treasurer is currently on holiday therefore, if the bank statements were submitted within the next 10 days, the parish council could consider the application when it holds an extra ordinary parish council meeting to discuss the accounts and the external audit paperwork.

## **21/57 Planning Matters**

### **i. 20/00211/FUL**

22 Butchers Close – Change of use of land to domestic and the construction of a two-storey extension.

Delegated powers were used to respond to this application and an objection was made. This addressed issues with the solid brick wall that is street facing, the reason for the change of use not being stated and concerns regarding parking. Although, the matter of car parking has been addressed, the other issues remain relevant.

Mr Cherrington was advised that a way forward may be to withdraw the application, get his money back (as he has been overcharged) and to consider the plans again. It may be that his requirements could be met under permitted development.

It was **RESOLVED** that the objection to the plans remain although the planning officer to be notified the reference to parking was no longer an issue. (Proposed Cllr Dugmore, seconded Cllr Thomas, 8 in favour and one abstention from Cllr Kettle).

### **ii. 20/02839/FUL**

Land near Bishop's Itchington – construction of a solar farm (82.5ha) together with all associated works, equipment and necessary infrastructure). An amendment/additional information has been received for the application:

Geophysical Survey Report - Feldon Vale Solar Farm - produced by Magnitude Survey's dated March 2021

Archaeological Summary by Pegasus Group dated 15th March 2021

Delegated powers were used to respond to this application and an objection was made. This addressed numerous issues (a copy of the objections was circulated prior to the meeting) and expressed the parish council's grave reservations about both documents including survey methodology with nearly a third of the site having not been surveyed due to green waste and the area being too wet, lack of archaeological information and that the executive summary has been provided by the agent etc.

It was **RESOLVED** that the objection to the additional reports remain. (Proposed Cllr Thomas, seconded Cllr Christian-Carter, 7 in favour and two abstentions from Cllrs Kettle and M Mann).

iii. **21/00559/FUL**

The Old Grain Barn, Mill Pit Farm, Hambridge Road - Two storey extension connected to main dwelling through glazed link, single storey garden room, double car port with roof terrace, dormer windows to dwelling and alterations to elevations. Delegated powers were used to object to this application due to the application not conforming to various Planning Policy's.

It was **RESOLVED** that the objection submitted under delegated powers to the remain. (Proposed Cllr Christian-Carter, seconded Cllr Dugmore, 8 in favour and one abstention from Cllr Kettle).

iv. **21/01228/AGNOT**

Cattle shed at Holmes House, Hambridge Road, Bishops Itchington

v. **21/01229/AGNOT**

Agricultural barn at Holmes House, Hambridge Road, Bishops Itchington.

Items iv and v were taken together.

It was **RESOLVED** that Stratford DC planning be advised that without further information (heights, dimensions, positioning, elevations, appearance, in line with the SPD Part G for agricultural buildings etc) it is impossible for the parish council to make considered comments. (Proposed Cllr Christian-Carter, seconded Cllr Dugmore, 8 in favour and one abstention from Cllr Kettle).

vi. **20/00766/S106A**

Application to modify or discharge S106 Obligation(s) – Removal of area of Open Space to the rear of Mandale Close from the defined Open Space in Section 106 dated 26 February 2001.

Stratford DC has advised that the proposed modification is not acceptable for the following reasons:

- The Public Open Space (POS) still serves as an important ecological corridor which provides bio-diversitygain to the site and wider environs and still provides a landscape screen between the two housing estates when viewed from the main road,

- The Local Planning Authority considers that the requested variation to the wording of the S.106 is considered to be unacceptable in this instance.

The land is totally enclosed by a fence and padlocked gate. As it is against policy for the parish council to dispose of POS, it was suggested that some thought be given as to how the land could be made useful.

## **21/58 County, District & Parish Liaison**

**WCC** Due to other commitments, Cllr Crump was unable to attend. The parish council thanked Cllr Crump for the work he had undertaken on the council's behalf following the passing of Cllr Stevens in July 2020.

**SDC** Cllr Kettle advised that as the election was due to be held on Thursday 6 May and due to purdah, little activity had taken place.

## **21/59 Finance**

### **1 Monthly Financial Report**

The budget report for the month ending March 2021 as per Appendix A had been circulated prior to the meeting. There were no questions.

### **2 Bank Reconciliation Report**

The bank reconciliation report for March 2021 had been completed by the Clerk. Cllr Kettle had checked it and was happy with it. April's bank reconciliation had not been undertaken as the bank holiday has delayed the production of the bank statements.

### **3 Accounts for Payment**

Cllr Dugmore and Cllr Thomas volunteered to check the invoices and authorise the bank payments. Cllr Dugmore advised that a large number of payments had to be approved between meetings due to the cancellation of the April parish council meeting.

### **4. Approval of Transfers from Reserves on 31 March 2021**

It was agreed that a meeting is required between Cllrs Dugmore and Kettle and the Clerk to finalise these. These are to then be reported/approved at the extra-ordinary meeting that will be required.

### **5. Financial Report for 2020/2021**

It was agreed to discuss/approve this at the extra-ordinary meeting that will be required.

## **21/60 Extension of Clerk's Delegated Powers**

Following discussion, it was **RESOLVED**, that, due to the current covid19 restrictions, to extend the Clerks delegated powers for a period of 6 months at which point, the situation will be reviewed/rescinded as appropriate. (Proposed Cllr Kettle, seconded Cllr Dugmore, all in favour).

## **21/61 Bishop's Hill – Section 106**

Cllr Kettle advised that he had contacted Follett's Property Holdings to see if there was any scope to support village projects since the new doctor's surgery was never delivered using Section 106 monies from the Bishop's Hill development.

## **21/62 Memorial Hall**

See minute 21/56 point 3 above.

The situation regarding the register of title of the hall to be followed up once the records office at Warwick is open to the public again. This will resolve the ownership issue and the committee than have a means of getting over the hurdles they keep encountering when applying for funding. There may be an opportunity, in terms of giving some help and structure to the processes that are taking place, that could end up being part of the response towards the grant application, as far as what help the parish council could provide from paying for a fundraiser who can work with the Memorial Hall committee.

Any help that the parish council can provide in giving/paying for a consultant specialising in raising money for village halls, would be very helpful. Any appointment would have to go formally through the LLC but there is nothing stopping the parish council arranging a scoping meeting between the parties including A Maliphant whose field of expertise includes village/town halls (governance and funding).

It was **RESOLVED** to set up a scoping meeting between Parish Council and Memorial Hall representatives (Cllr Christian-Carter, Carolyn Went, Memorial Hall Committee and Andrew Maliphant). (Proposed by Cllr Dugmore, seconded Cllr D Mann, 8 in favour).

## **21/63 Requests from Residents Involving Parish Council Land**

### **i. 8 Parrish Close**

Request to move boundary fence out (approximately one meter) to its original position to allow adequate access to the side of the house.

The parish council has not received any formal request, or any evidence provided to say it is in the wrong place. It has been raised with us but there has been no evidence put forward to say that this is a boundary dispute. The photographs show that the resident has had godfathers installed repairing the fence in its position which does look like tacit acceptance of its location. It was suggested that the parish council takes no further action on this unless a formal request or dispute is raised on that position. The parish council can not gift the land away and the precedent was set in item 21/57 item vi. It was suggested that the resident go back to land registry and checks exactly what was set down and then compares this with the current situation and then decides what to do via a solicitor specialising in land disputes.

### **ii. 32 Lakin Drive**

Request to purchase or rent the grass verge outside the property for the parking of additional cars.

It was **RESOLVED** that due to the streetscene and the storage of cars dominating the environment that the request be declined. This is consistent with the precedent set by the parish council to protect verges/POS (Proposed by Cllr Dugmore, seconded by Cllr Christian-Carter, all in favour).

## **21/64 Renewal of WALC Subscription for 2021/2022**

It was **RESOLVED** to renew the WALC subscription for 2021/22 at a cost of £686.00 plus VAT. (proposed Cllr Tressler, seconded Cllr Christian-Carter, all in favour).

## **21/65 Remote Meetings**

As mentioned previously, the legislation allowing parish councils the ability to hold remote meetings will cease on 7 May 2021. There is a consultation being run on Gov.UK and we have been asked as a parish council to make a response although individuals are able to respond as well.

Views expressed included:

- More discriminatory than the current arrangements.

- It is accepted that with the current set up members of the public must have zoom to be able to engage with us, but they can do that.
- If we move to the new legislation then not sure how it is going to work as require a large enough meeting space to enable social distancing.
- People may not want to wear mask for the duration of the meeting.
- Clinically extremely vulnerable people are unlikely to want to participate/engage in the current climate with new variants of the virus being spread.
- Need to have access to Wi-Fi as the parish council would need to be able to allow members of the public/clinically vulnerable people to participate via zoom.
- To submit a strong representation saying that remote meetings should continue to be allowed until such time when people feel confident about coming back and joining together in a public space.
- Remote meetings have been a great success.
- Possible opportunity for joint remote and physical meetings so that all can attend,
- In a new world, technology is there and can benefit people i.e., Cllr Andy Crump covering two wards. Zoom allows him to attend multiple meetings on the same evening whereas physical meetings mean he would have to drive to numerous villages and therefore would not be able to contribute effectively at each meeting.
- We have the technology, and it is effective. We should have the option to decide if we meet via Zoom and then meet physically say every three or 6 months or joint physical/Zoom meetings.
- Down to individuals as to where they see the benefit and there is an argument for both sides.
- Should have the option to either be present at a meeting physically or to join by remote on Zoom – would have to look at what infrastructure we would need to enable this i.e., is the Wi-Fi system sufficiently good at whatever venue we use.
- Potentially put to a vote so we can make the decision as a council as to what we prefer and once we have done this we can get to a stage where we have made a united decision one way or another.
- One concerning aspect is if you have a hybrid meeting, where if you have a group of people physically engaging in the meeting in a room who are connecting to other participants in the same meeting remotely, what you get is a clique of people in the room working together and the people who are remote finding it difficult to get into that. Would support the option to do physical or remote meetings but not the hybrid option. If the whole of the council met in a room and maybe members of the public who wanted could attend via Zoom worked then fine but not where some members of the council physically attended whilst others attended via Zoom as you get totally different dynamics between physical and remote.
- Strongly oppose the option of taking away the choice.
- Some individuals felt that having a physical meeting of councillors is the best option (from 21 June). However, would those councillors who are physically vulnerable be prepared to attend?
- Due to inclusivity particularly and also to efficiency, there was a strong belief that we should carry on meeting via Zoom.
- Some people may be keener to join the council if the meetings are remote.

There appeared to be a strong consensus for keeping the remote option and a good set of reasons coming out to support this. Rather than trying to prepare a response now, it was agreed that this was the position the parish council wished to take and that the Clerk will collate the responses that detailed the reasons Councillors have provided. Effectively this would be a delegated response after consultation, as the council has agreed what its overall position is. Councillors to summarise their individual thoughts and to submit these to the clerk by the end of May.

## **21/66 Environment & Properties**

### **1. Pavilion Project**

- i. An update had been circulated prior to the meeting and there were no questions. Cllr Mann advised that since the report, another steering group meeting had been held and interviews for a professional fundraiser had been held. All the additional information that was requested by SDC for the planning application was submitted.
- ii. Infrastructure Funding Statement – Proposal to submit the project as a candidate for CIL support. We will not be high on the priority list, but it would be sensible to get the project on the list. We have until 7 May to submit the form. The Clerk agreed to collate information needed and to populate the form.
- iii. Asbestos Management Plan  
A question had come in from a member of the public via the parish council's website saying that they had noticed the asbestos warning sticker on the pavilion and was it safe to be using the pavilion/be in the vicinity of the pavilion. Whilst the asbestos remains in situ, undisturbed and undamaged it is, as far as it is reasonable, safe. A management plan was put together some years ago when the pavilion was surveyed. The plan included some actions including an annual review and this appears not to have been conducted. We therefore need to look at what the plans recommendations were and decide what actions need to be taken to enact them. It was agreed that Environment and Properties group would undertake this and report back to parish council.

It was suggested that a set of actions is pulled out of the minutes and circulated to ensure actions are not missed. The Clerk will undertake this.

### **2. Children's Playground**

Very little to report currently. The working group is getting around to considering a replacement for the tractor but the prospect of applying for grants is daunting, although not an obstacle but is yet another hurdle to climb. Cllr Kettle advised that Long Itchington have a real, immobile tractor at the centre of their playground for the children to play on – this may be an option the working group might want to consider.

### **3. BINDP**

Cllr Thomas advised that Kirkwells is currently collating the comments/observations received. The parish council had to return the un-spent grant to Groundworks. Cllr Thomas has started the process of re-applying for funding to complete the process and has been advised that the application has been successful. It is hoped to undertake the village referendum in September/October.

### **4. Cemetery and Churchyard**

The current water tank is leaking. The Clerk and Cemetery Manager are investigating the costs of repair/replacement. Currently it looks like the most cost-effective solution is going to be to replace it with a new tank that is more aesthetically pleasing. It was suggested that Barbara Pink would be a good source of information as she has recently purchased several large water tanks for the allotments. The replacement needs to be of a similar size. If the replacement is not aesthetically pleasing, it was suggested that it be hidden by cladding. Cllr Kettle suggested that the existing tank be drilled out and bolt a plate front and back, paint it and the tank could be as good as new at a limited cost. Suggested that it is surveyed, the damage assessed, and the options investigated. Thomas Fox quote – approval for the works.  
Circular bench around the tree in the reflective area.

It was **RESOLVED** that the work, as outlined in the quotation from Thomas Fox be commissioned (proposed Cllr Dugmore, seconded Cllr Christian-Carter, all in favour).

### **21/67 Reports and Questions**

1. Plough Lane – Flooding. The management company carried and cleared out the silt traps etc. A meeting has been held involving Cllr Kettle, G Mann, and Patch Byrne (WCC) to discuss the small ditch above the walkway and the fact that two of the culverts on the left-hand side are still running water. This is dragging on and on, the gullies need emptying, and it needs to be recognised that the system is not working and unless WCC do the work, the problem will keep on re-occurring and by next winter we will be in the same position. French drains had been created on the Manor side of the road but when WCC put in the traffic calming measures they dug out the integrity of the French drains. It was requested that the Clerk write to Patch Byrne requesting an update.
2. Post Box – Bricks have been removed but, as yet a new post box has not been installed. The Clerk will chase the Post Office again,
3. Post Codes – It appears that the four cottages and one house at the bottom of Works Lane share the same postcode as The Sidings in the new Bishops Hill estate. Due to the geographical locations of these two sites this is causing numerous problems particularly with lorries going down Works Lane. David Wilson Homes is totally oblivious to this. It could result in a major problem if emergency services are called and go to the wrong address. Post Codes are issued by the Post Office and are outside the remit of District and County Council

### **21/68 Exclusion of Public & Press**

It was **RESOLVED** to exclude the public under Sec 100A of the Local Government Act 1972 in order to discuss the following confidential matters:

#### **1. Pavilion Project – Fundraiser Vacancy:**

Three companies were interviewed by Cllrs Dugmore, Gates and Mann and Tom Cooper. All three were very professional and interviewed well. Warman Consultants Limited represented the best value for money, are local and has a proven track record. Therefore, the interview panel recommends the appointment of this company. Warman Consultants Limited would also be ameanable to helping other groups in the village if necessary.

It was **RESOLVED** to appoint Warman Consultants Limited to initially undertake the completion/submission of the HS2 and Landfill Tax funding applications. Once these applications are complete, the parish council will work with Warman Consultants Limited to establish what else is required and this will be agreed on the day rate that has been provided. (Proposed by Cllr Dugmore, seconded by Cllr M Mann, all in favour)

The Clerk was asked to write to the successful/unsuccessful candidates advising them of the outcome.

### **21/69 Date of Next Meeting**

The next ordinary meeting of the parish council is scheduled to take place on Monday, 14 June 2021 at 7.30pm. The venue is to be confirmed.

**Meeting closed at 22:22**

Signed.....Chairman Date.....



## APPENDIX A

### Financial Budget Comparison:

Comparison between 01/04/20 and 31/03/21 inclusive  
Excludes transactions with an invoice date prior to 01/04/20

	Budget 2020/2021	Reserve Movements	Actual Net	Balance	
<b>INCOME</b>					
<b>Bishops Itchington Parish Council</b>					
10	Precept	£89,000.00	£0.00	£90,347.61	£1,347.61
20	Council Tax Support Grant	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
30	Burials	£2,000.00	£0.00	£4,195.00	£2,195.00
40	Sec 136 & Other Reimbursements	£1,300.00	£0.00	£0.00	-£1,300.00
50	Playing Field	£400.00	£0.00	£267.57	-£132.43
60	Interest	£450.00	£0.00	£347.48	-£102.52
70	Grants	£0.00	£0.00	£9,100.00	£9,100.00
80	Misc	£250.00	£0.00	£95.78	-£154.22
90	VAT Refund	£0.00	£0.00	£2,874.86	£2,874.86
100	Pavilion Fund	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
<b>Total Bishops Itchington Parish Council</b>		<b>£93,400.00</b>	<b>£0.00</b>	<b>£107,228.66</b>	<b>£13,828.66</b>
<b>Total Income</b>		<b>£93,400.00</b>	<b>£0.00</b>	<b>£107,228.66</b>	<b>£13,828.66</b>
<b>EXPENDITURE</b>					
<b>Bishops Itchington Parish Council</b>					
200	Salaries & Expenses	£30,380.00	£0.00	£35,570.48	-£5,190.48
210	Councillor Allowances	£500.00	£0.00	£500.00	£0.00
220	Administration	£6,767.00	£0.00	£8,215.83	-£1,448.83
230	Grounds Maintenance	£23,232.00	£0.00	£21,727.87	£1,504.13
240	Cemetery & Churchyard	£4,743.00	£0.00	£6,444.59	-£1,901.59
250	Playing Field	£10,763.00	£0.00	£13,167.27	-£2,404.27
260	Grants	£7,500.00	£0.00	£15,553.00	-£8,053.00
270	Neighbourhood Plan	£2,500.00	£0.00	£4,440.00	-£1,940.00
280	Other Expenditure	£1,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£1,000.00
290	VAT	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
300	Contingency	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
310	Parish Office	£5,190.00	£0.00	£4,940.04	£249.96
320	Pavilion Project	£5,000.00	£0.00	£15,581.79	-£10,581.79
<b>Total Bishops Itchington Parish Council</b>		<b>£97,575.00</b>	<b>£0.00</b>	<b>£126,340.87</b>	<b>-£28,765.87</b>
<b>Total Expenditure</b>		<b>£97,575.00</b>	<b>£0.00</b>	<b>£126,340.87</b>	<b>-£28,765.87</b>
Total Income		£93,400.00	£0.00	£107,228.66	£13,828.66
Total Expenditure		£97,575.00	£0.00	£126,340.14 <sup>8</sup> <sub>7</sub>	-£28,765.87
<b>Total Net Balance</b>		<b>-£4,175.00</b>		<b>-£19,112.21</b>	

## APPENDIX B

<b>Bishop's Itchington Parish Council</b>						
<b>Accounts Payable 10 May 2021</b>						
<b>To Whom Payable</b>	<b>Ref No</b>	<b>Ex Vat</b>	<b>Vat Payable</b>	<b>Totals</b>		
<i>Urgent accounts paid since last meeting requiring the formal approval of the council</i>						
E Biddle (office rent 01/04/21)	s/order	£ 411.67	£ -	£		411.67
Onecom (phone & b/band 31/03/20)	d/debit	£ 60.99	£ 12.20	£		73.19
E.On (Pavilion electricity 11/03/21)	d/debit	£ 97.26	£ 4.86	£		102.12
Bishop's Itchington Village News (Scene Advert)	210313	£ 85.00			£	85.00
Light Media (Hosting Website)	210314	£ 90.00	£ 18.00	£		108.00
PWC (Bus Shelter)	210315	£ 45.00			£	45.00
WALC (Course Fee)	210316	£ 25.00	£ 5.00	£		30.00
WALC (Course Fee)	210317	£ 100.00	£ 20.00	£		120.00
Edge IT (End of year Finance Webinar)	210319	£ 40.00	£ 8.00	£		48.00
A Dugmore (Chairman's Allowance)	210320	£ 500.00			£	500.00
Bishop's Itchington Village News (Scene Grant)	210321	£ 2,985.00			£	2,985.00
Groundwork UK (Return of Unspent Grant)	210322	£ 4,410.00			£	4,410.00
Wicksteed Leisure Ltd (Tractor Parts)	210323	£ 337.17	£ 67.43	£		404.60
Edge IT (End of year Finance Accountancy)	210324	£ 30.38	£ 6.08	£		36.46
Bank Service Charge		£ 18.00			£	18.00
J Kirton (Salary)	210401	£ 46.36	£ -	£		46.36
V Powell (Salary)	210402	£ 73.99	£ -	£		73.99
K Stevens (Salary)	210403	£ 1,317.76	£ -	£		1,317.76
AT Architects Ltd (Fee Instalment)	210404	£ 1,250.00	£ 250.00	£		1,500.00
WWC Pension Fund (March)	210405	£ 429.86	£ -	£		429.86
K Stevens (Expenses (signage))	210406	£ 92.29	£ -	£		92.29
Thomas Fox LTD (Mowing, Hedge Cutting etc)	210407	£ 828.99	£ 165.80	£		994.79
PWC (Bus Shelter)	210408	£ 45.00	£ -	£		45.00
HMRC (PAYE)	210409	£ 336.12	£ -	£		336.12
E Biddle (office rent 01/05/21)	s/order	£ 411.67	£ -	£		411.67
Onecom (phone & b/band 30/04/20)	d/debit	£ 60.99	£ 12.20	£		73.19
Three (mobile sim - 22/04/21)	d/debit	£ 4.17	£ 0.83	£		5.00
	<b>Sub-total</b>	<b>£ 14,132.67</b>	<b>£ 570.40</b>	<b>£</b>		<b>14,703.07</b>
<i>Accounts for payment on 10 May 2021</i>						
J Kirton (Salary)	210501	£ 47.50	£ -	£		47.50
V Powell (Salary)	210502	£ 76.16	£ -	£		76.16
K Stevens (Salary)	210503	£ 1,317.76	£ -	£		1,317.76
HMRC (PAYE)	210504	£ 337.32	£ -	£		337.32
WWC Pension Fund (March)	210405	£ 429.86	£ -	£		429.86
K Stevens (Expenses (zoom subscription renewal))	210506	£ 143.88	£ -	£		143.88
Thomas Fox LTD (Mowing, Hedge Cutting etc)	210507	£ 1,772.98	£ 354.60	£		2,127.58
Three (mobile simm)	210508	£ 4.17	£ 0.83	£		5.00
Viking (stationery and office chair)	210509	£ 277.63	£ 55.53	£		333.16
SDC (Cemetery Rates)	210510	£ 763.69			£	763.69
WALC (Subscription renewal)	210511	£ 686.00	£ 104.00	£		790.00
					£	-
	<b>Sub-total</b>	<b>£ 5,856.95</b>	<b>£ 514.96</b>	<b>£</b>		<b>6,371.91</b>
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>£ 19,989.62</b>	<b>£ 1,085.36</b>	<b>£</b>		<b>21,074.98</b>