

BISHOP'S ITCHINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of the Ordinary Parish Council Meeting 10 January 2022 at 7.30pm

Present

Cllr Dugmore (Chairman)	Cllr Christian-Carter	Cllr Gates	Cllr Kettle
Cllr M Mann	Cllr Thomas	Cllr Tressler	

Absent

3 Vacant seats

In Attendance

Andrew Maliphant – Project Officer
Karen Stevens - Clerk to the Council

Public

1

22/1 Apologies

None

22/2 Declarations of Interest

None

22/3 Dispensations

None.

22/4 Minutes

Ordinary Parish Council meeting held on 8 November 2021. Cllr Dugmore stated that there is point in the minutes in reference to Nemo Racing, that he is quoted where the words got a bit mixed, but the intent was captured, so the minutes need to be checked to ensure they reflects that Cllr Dugmore was talking the application being presented verses the current state of the current admission. It was agreed that Cllr Dugmore will make a note to this effect when he signs the minutes.

It was **RESOLVED** to approve the minutes of the ordinary parish council meeting held on 13 December 2021, save for the comments made above as a true and complete record of that meeting. (Proposed Cllr Mann, seconded Cllr Thomas, all in favour).

22/5 **Public Forum**

Mr John-Paul Bromley advised that he wished to talk about the Old Grain Barn, Mill Pit Farm, Hambridge Road. Mr Bromley advised the following:

- He is the current owner of the Old Grain Barn of which the parish council had raised objections to the development of the site. He would like to give some background/context to the planning application,
- He moved into the Old Grain Barn in February 2020, and it is a beautiful location and beautiful site. It was all a bit of a rush and he looked around the site/area at what was there and what developments had taken place, slightly naively, he did not realise about classifications and that the permitted development rights would have been removed from the barn on conversion. It is a converted barn of pretty poor quality, probably a machinery shed previously.
- Looking at the site around it, he did not think there would be much of an issue to try to get a small extension, it is quite a small property upstairs with a very low roof, so they decided to apply for a small extension on the side of the building which is what the original planning application was for in March 2020. This was rejected. There was an issue in that Stratford District Council (SDC) had outsourced the case to somebody, they had lost it, time dragged on and as his family had had an horrendous year, they just let it drop although, in hindsight, they should have battled on.
- He asked the architect and a consultant what would be the best way of getting an annex to a room? The premise on the original plan was to get the bedroom upstairs larger to give more space and to accommodate wardrobes as the eaves are quite low in the current bedroom space.
- Regarding the current planning application, the purpose of the building is to allow somewhere to store equipment and machinery (barn conversion is on a 2.5-acre plot) but really wanted a building adjoining the main building.
- They were advised to put in a planning application, like two other properties have done in the area, whereby they have large garage with a small amount of accommodation above and then to submit another planning application for planning permission for a link to join the main house to the proposed development. The current planning application would give them the extra bedroom upstairs and a machinery room/workshop downstairs. It would be seen as part of the house and is their second option, as their first option would have been to have the room joined to the house. So, this planning application is the next best option to get one extra bedroom within the site as there is his partner, himself and their 14-year-old son and a 21-year-old son at university who comes back from time to time, so it is a bit cramped at times. The purpose of the building therefore is to have an extra bedroom with a machinery store below.
- Mr Bromley understands the parish council's concerns as he believes the parish council, having looked at previous applications in the surrounding area, appears to have a mindset of objecting to planning applications.

- It is a machinery/equipment store with a bedroom above linked to the main building and there is no intention that this is going to be built as a separate property or to cut the property off. It is the second-best option as to what they wanted. It is their home and where they want to stay. It will not be rented out as a holiday home as it will be joined to the main building and will be used as outlined.

Mr Bromley was thanked for attending and providing the information.

22/6 **Planning Matters**

i. **21/02732/FUL**

The Old Grain Barn Mill Pit Farm Hambridge Road - New garage and workshop with ancillary accommodation above.

This is on the agenda as the Planning Officer has asked the parish council to consider removing its objection to the planning application.

Cllr Christian-Carter advised that the whole problem was that the plans on the E-Planning System are still inaccurate and according to the SDC User Guide for household development, when it comes to proposed ancillary accommodation, planning applicants are advised most strongly (in other words, do it) to provide a justification statement as to why this is to be the case but there had been no justification statement provided initially. Finally, the planning agent has provided a justification statement which sets out why the owner wants to use what was designated as the 'hobby room' in the ancillary building as ancillary accommodation and as such there will be a condition that restricts it to that. Therefore Cllr Christian-Carter is happy for the parish council to remove its objection.

Cllr Tressler viewed his concern that his concern from the onset was whether it would become some sort of rental property. From the details provided by Mr Bromley, there has been clarity that it is for domestic use only and will be used by the family.

Cllr Mann is happy to withdraw the objection if there is a condition put on to limit its use as it is very easy to say one thing and the three years down the line, do another.

Cllr Kettle clarified that SDC has historically had the view that a barn conversion is exactly that and he has seen, over the years as a parish councillor, many applications in the village and outside the village where people have wanted to put on an extension that changes the shape and impact of that in a rural setting. Therefore, SDC are very resistant to anything that changes the setting and what is a barn.

It was **RESOLVED** that the parish council removes its objection to the planning application since we have now received a statement of justification and have been assured that there will be a condition restricting the use of the building as an annex ancillary to the main dwelling. (Proposed Cllr Christian-Carter, seconded Cllr Gates, six in favour and one abstention (Cllr Kettle)).

ii. **HS2OCW/00030/21**

HS2 Southam - Leamington Road Embankment; River Itchen Viaduct; Mill Pond Embankment; Southam Cutting; Southam Embankment; Ladbroke Cutting (Part of); B4451 Kineton Road Overbridge; A423 Banbury Road Overbridge; 5 No. Culverts: Southam Culvert and 4 No. Floodplain Culverts; Earthworks associated with the reinstatement of the A423 Banbury Road and B4451 Kineton Road over the HS2 line; Earthworks associated with the 4 No. new access tracks and an access (track) route realignment to The Field House; River Itchen Watercourse Diversion; 6 No. Drainage Ponds; Drainage ditches; Location of the Vehicle Restraint Barriers and the location of the permanent (security) fencing.

Cllr Tressler stated that the one thing we need to do is to ensure that the access routes, the 'rat-runs' and everything that goes with the works involved, is to do our best to protect the residents of the village and ourselves against the chaos that could come from this on the roads. What can we do to mitigate utter road chaos?

Cllr Thomas stated that the biggest impact we will have is when we close the Kineton Road for a couple of weeks as traffic will come down through Ladbroke.

Cllr Kettle advised that there is a new head of HS2 liaison at Warwickshire CC named Christopher Cresswell. It will be a two week closure and no HGV's access to Depper's Bridge.

Cllr Mann suggested that we should raise the matter of the lesser route road and what work should be done.

Cllr Kettle thinks that we should write to EKFB explaining our dismay and copy in the county council officer concerned. Cllr Kettle will provide the Clerk with contact details.

It was **RESOLVED** to respond as 'no representations' but to comment:

- i. That the parish council would like to understand the traffic mitigations that will be put in place to protect the smaller roads, which we know will not be the formal diversion route but nevertheless are likely to be 'rat-run',
- ii. That the parish council is consulted on the traffic management plan when it is created.

(Proposed Cllr Dugmore, seconded Cllr Mann, all in favour).

iii **Pre-Planning Meeting: The Village Store, Chapel Street.**

A meeting took place and the notes of the meeting have been circulated. Councillors were invited to raise any questions they may have bearing in mind that currently there no application has yet been submitted and, therefore, we are not forming an opinion on it.

Cllr Mann requested clarification regarding the parking outside The Village Store and whether he understood correctly that 50 % of the available space is to be taken up by the marking of a disabled parking bay for use by the neighbouring property as the area is heavily congested. Cllr Dugmore responded that a disabled parking bay can be put there regardless of the shops activity and will be a matter for County Council to consider as it will be on the highway. Also, the disability bays are not actually enforceable as it is more of a courtesy point therefore if someone was to park in the bay temporarily for a couple of minutes, if it was not inconveniencing someone, there would not be an issue.

Cllr Gates asked for clarification of what the intention was as this was not clear from the notes. Cllr Dugmore advised that it is a re-configuration of the shop to allow a combination of café/snacks with a small shop area selling local produce whilst keeping the paper shop facilities and services. Cllr Christian-Carter advised that essentially it is a change of use from the current class usage E(a) (retail) - to - part E(b) (serving hot food and drinks to be consumed on the premises).

Cllr Dugmore advised that the notes will be added to the minutes of this meeting (Appendix C).

22/7 County, District & Parish Liaison

SDC/WCC

Cllr Kettle had circulated his reports prior to the meeting, one being a lengthy report regarding the County and a shorter District report focusing on Education and Special Educational Needs in the District.

- An update on the reports is that Christopher Cresswell has sent an email expressing his dismay about HS2 as mentioned in minute 22/6 ii.
- Covid is still an issue and recently we had the highest rate of infection in Warwickshire.
- A new County Highways Officer has been appointed. Geoffrey Hobday has taken over from Patch Byrne who has retired. Cllr Kettle agreed to remind Geoffrey that flooding work on Plough Lane is still outstanding.
- Both County and District are increasing the Council Tax by 4% and 2% respectively. Currently, Cllr Kettle has no idea as to what the increase for the Police and Crime Commission will be.

Cllr Christian-Carter asked for clarification for the recruitment at SDC to fill five planning vacancies as to whether these are senior planning vacancies. Cllr Kettle was unsure and therefore advised Cllr Christian-Carter that he will seek clarification and get back to her once he had a response.

22/8 Finance

1 Monthly Financial Report.

It was **RESOLVED** to accept the Monthly Finance Report ending 6 January 2022 (Appendix A). (Proposed Cllr Gates, seconded Cllr Christian-Carter, all in favour).

2 Bank Reconciliation Reports for December 2021.

The bank reconciliation report for December 2021 had been completed by the Clerk. Cllr Gates and Cllr Kettle have checked it and are happy with it.

3 Accounts for Payment.

It was **RESOLVED** that the Accounts Payable on 10 January 2022 be authorised for payment by Cllrs Gates and Cllr Thomas (Appendix B). (Proposed Cllr Gates, seconded Cllr Thomas, all in favour).

4 Preparation of Budget 2022/23 and Precept 2022/23.

A meeting of the Finance Group is to be held on Thursday 13 January at Cllr Kettle's home. Cllr Kettle requested that those attending undertake a lateral flow test on the day of the meeting. The Clerk will provide details of any contracts that are ending in the financial year 2022/23, details of local authority pay settlements and pension contributions for all the staff, and Cllr Kettle will send her the finance spreadsheet for completion.

Cllr Gates requested that any working groups who require funding outside the scope of the normal budgets i.e., possible costs to draw up playground plans, NDP costs etc; contact the Finance Group to highlight these so it can be included.

An extra-ordinary meeting will need to be arranged to approve the budget and precept for 2022/23. It was agreed to hold the meeting on Monday 24 January 2022 at 7.30pm at the Community Centre. Cllr Tressler tendered his apologies for this meeting.

22/9 Request to Attach Antenna Wire to Tree Adjacent to 25 Ladbroke Road:

The email request and photographs had been circulated prior to the meeting.

Cllr Christian-Carter has visited the site and although it would be a thin wire, she raised her concerns that this would set a precedent. The parish council has previously had a request from a neighbouring bungalow requesting that trees be cut back/down to enable a better television reception and although not the same request, they are related. There is nothing to stop the requestee from erecting a very tall mast in his garden. Cllr Christian-Carter understands why he had made the request as this is the easier option.

Cllr's Tressler and Mann agreed that if we agreed that if the parish council said yes to the request, it would set a precedent and other villagers may want to attach wires/ariels to adjacent trees.

Cllr Dugmore is concerned that the wire would over sail a piece of public land/public footpath and whose liability would it be if it fell and become a trip/entanglement hazard. It would be attached to a tree in a public open space and would set a precedent. It is public realm and therefore he feels that it should not be allowed. On feedback from councillors, the consensus was to say no to the request.

It was **RESOLVED** to refuse the request as it would set a precedent, over-sail of public open space and public footpath, liability, and limitations of maintenance of the tree. (Proposed Cllr Gates, seconded Cllr Tressler, all in favour).

22/10 Standing Orders Review and Adoption

Cllr Dugmore had noticed that the Standing Orders published on our website were the model and they had the place-holder text rather than actual numbers. As these are the basis on which the parish council operates, Cllr Dugmore proposed that we update them as soon as possible. Therefore, Cllr Dugmore has taken the standing orders model, used the information from the most recent one that had the gaps populated, and has change some words as the whole document was written with masculine third-person pronouns.

It was **RESOLVED** that the amended version be adopted by Bishop's Itchington Parish Council . (Proposed Cllr Christian-Carter, seconded Cllr Dugmore, all in favour).

22/11 Environment & Properties

1. Children's Playground:

The multiplay slide and climber and climber (frame/net) have been removed completely from the playground whilst the cableway and swing (single point tyre) have been taken out of service as agreed. Awaiting the 'big news' to be broken to the village before the next steps can be undertaken. It was commented that the reaction created on the community Facebook page gives an indication of what we are likely to receive.

2. BINDP:

There was another round of emails between SDC and the parish council just before Christmas so we are just waiting for Andrew Neale to come back with hopefully his final comments.

3. Recreational Community Facility Project (RCFP):

i. To agree the amount of Public Works Loan (PWL) required:

Andrew Maliphant was thanked for putting together the documentation on this. Cllr Dugmore asked the question that this is based on borrowing the ex-VAT price therefore how we are proposing to pay the VAT element. Andrew Maliphant advised that we can reclaim the VAT and currently the recovery reclaim time is approximately a month. Cllr Dugmore expressed that currently all he sees is £0.25 million pound gap between what the proposed PWL would be and the total cost of the project and therefore there is an expectation that it is going to be running a month ahead but is this enough of a buffer. Cllr Mann stated that reclaiming VAT monthly is in the staged payments with the contractor and, after the initial payment, there will be roughly the same amount being paid out each month pro-rata. The question was raised as to whether the parish council's VAT account was monthly or quarterly, and it was agreed that the clerk would check the parish councils account. Cllr Kettle advised that we need to see what the proposed stage payments are going to be so that we have a real cashflow projection to identify what is our maximum exposure including VAT and it depends how much is front-loaded in terms of when cash has to go out. Therefore, the key issue is to have it set down clearly in a page month 1 payments, month 2 payments, month 3 payments, month

4 payments at which point we are able to reclaim the first quarters VAT back, as it will only be then that we can see what full exposure is going to be. The proposed contractor did offer to provide a cashflow forecast. Andrew Maliphant undertook to obtain a cashflow forecast from the proposed contractor.

Andrew Maliphant advised that there are other things to be wary of in terms of general reserves as we are unaware of what the current position is with the parish council reserves and what they currently stand at. We are anticipating that any valued engineering will keep the price at the level quoted as the start time will be delayed. It should also be noted that since the council first looked at this, interest rate repayments have increased by 0.3% on a 10-year loan.

Cllr Dugmore summed up that there is a projection/calculation that needs to be done off the back of the spend profile to understand how far ahead we have got to keep and therefore how big a buffer versus the actual total cost will have to be. The amount in the reserves will also need to be identified before the decision can be made as to what level of PWL is required. The parish council will also need to be know the position of the village as to whether they want to proceed with the project. It was suggested that once all the data is formulated, an outside consultancy/professional services brought in to do the cashflow forecast. If necessary, this can then be challenged back from a professional capacity rather than from two councillors doing it. It was suggested that our solicitors should be able to recommend a bona-fide professional or maybe PKF (external accountants).

It was **RESOLVED** to approve the flyer save for finalising any things like the URL on the bottom or any bits of details of the costs. (Proposed Cllr Dugmore, seconded Cllr Christian-Carter, all in favour).

ii. To finalise the text of the initial flier accordingly:

Cllr Christian-Carter commented that for consistency it should be called the Recreational Community Facility as this is what she thought we had agreed at the last meeting.

Cllr Dugmore stated that the key word throughout is the use of the word 'recreation'. There are two leaflets to be printed, the flyer and the survey. A Maliphant questioned as to whether the parish council was happy with the wording 'Community Recreation' on the flyer. Cllr Christian-Carter stated that if this is what the parish council wants then we should stick with this but, for consistency, everything else that follows should be 'Recreational Community Facility'. The consensus was that the wording on the flyer should be 'Recreational Community Facility'. It was also confirmed that the web address on the flyer needs to be confirmed.

Cllr Tressler suggested that the initial flyer should be A5 size instead of the proposed postcard size as he feels it would make more impact.

The possible council tax increase quoted of '£1 - £2 per week is ambiguous as a Band H house could see an increase of £8.50 per week. It was agreed to clarify this to read '£1-£2 per week (figures based on Band D property)'.

Cllr Kettle advised that the figures need to be checked as he felt the figure for a Band D property is wrong. A Maliphant advised that the figure was based on the new tax base of 1137 properties compared with 998 properties for 2021/22 as confirmed by SDC.

A Maliphant advised that a date for the public meeting needs to be established so that it can be incorporated in the flyer.

Cllr Mann advised that members of the working group members are prepared to help to distribute the flyers.

iii. To finalise the timeline for distribution, public meeting, and subsequent survey.

- It was agreed that the public meeting will be held on Monday 7 February 2022.
- Flyers to be delivered the weekend of 29/30 January 2022. It was agreed that ideally, they all need to be distributed on the same day or within 24 hours.
- The timeframe between the residents having the flyer and the meeting taking place, the shorter it is the better possibility of higher engagement. At the same time, the parish council needs to be able to 'firefight' for a period when items/comments go on social media. A united and consistent approach needs to be used.
- We need a plan/scheme/agreement as to how the parish council is going to deal with the inevitable backlash that will come on social media. This needs to be in place.
- Surveys to be delivered by mailing company. A return envelope will be attached so surveys can be returned using the royal mail return service.
- Cllr Gates stated that the physical delivery of the flyers is relatively easy to co-ordinate, once this is done it is what are the standard responses to the questions that are going to be asked, how are we going to manage the physical meeting as it is not just about the architect and the building but the playground, fitness equipment, how are all of the aspects going to be managed and do we feel confident that we have all the answers or do we need this time to brief ourselves, go through all the questions we are likely to be asked and make sure that we are all saying the same thing? Cllr Christian-Carter advised that the key aspect of this is the pavilion as, unless she did not know the interior of the current building, if she looked at the photos of the pavilion, she would think what is wrong with it as it currently stands, therefore we need photos showing how bad it is internally. Cllr Mann suggested that the building be opened so people can look at it for themselves.
- A formal presentation should be prepared for the public meeting.
- There will be a four-week consultation period following the distribution of the survey.

iv. To finalise the paperwork requested for the HS2 funds (required by 14 January): A communication has been received from HS2 confirming that we have been given a grant, but they require additional information to finalise this.

We need to provide proof/confirmation from SDC that the S106 monies from the Furrow Fields development (Bovis Homes) can be used for the Recreational Community Facility project. The Deed of Variation was not acceptable. Cllrs Dugmore/Kettle will forward the written confirmation of this to the Clerk.

v. To confirm the date of a separate meeting to confirm the loan application form and accompanying business plan: This is dependent on the earlier conversation held. The information that goes out after the public meeting will have to state the exact amount that the parish council is intending to borrow. A. Maliphant advised that the cashflow figures need to be available at the meeting on Monday 24 January 2020 to enable the parish council to progress this as the survey paperwork will need to be available for distribution from 7 February 2022.

vi. Funding Update: Landfill Tax funding is not available for the Pavilion but may be appropriate for the playground refurbishment.

vii. Additional paid hours for Project Officer: A. Maliphant left the room for this item. The approved ten days of project officer time have expired and therefore consideration needs to be given to agree additional project officer days.

It was **RESOLVED** to agree an additional ten days of project officer time at a cost of £350 per day and a one-off Project Management fee of £150.00.
(Proposed Cllr Mann, seconded Cllr Christian-Carter, all in favour).

22/12 Reports and Questions

- Cllr N Thomas asked how the public meeting was going to work, for instance are we letting people just turn up, wander around, a formal presentation etc; this needs to be decided. Cllr Dugmore agreed that this does have to be agreed but not at this meeting. It was suggested that Cllr Dugmore, Cllr Mann and A Maliphant need to decide the format of the public meeting.
- Cllr Chrisitan-Carter requested that the Clerk puts the survey from Karl Curtis at the Warwickshire Wildlife Trust on the Parish Council's Facebook page.
- Cllr Thomas advised that the Wednesday evening footballers using the hardcourts have again raised the issue of the surface being slippery. Cllr Mann agreed to spray the courts.
- Bishops Hill – some of the residents are unable to obtain waste wheelie bins. Cllr Kettle asked Cllr Mann to email him with the details.
- Nemo Racing are advertising 200 entries for their two-day events. Highways did not object to the planning application as they had been given numbers that suggested it was so immaterial it would make very little difference. John Glenn has asked for additional information regarding the numbers of vehicles involved.
- A. Maliphant advised that although we have agreed the HS2 forms that have been circulated, from previous and tonight's discussions, it is likely that the project will be put back slightly before it starts on site, The HS2 paperwork quotes a start on site date of 1 May 2022 extradite the HS2 money and although they realise that projects slip, A. Maliphant suggested that he goes

back to HS2 to say 1 June 2022. The HS2 grant is specific to the recreational community facility building.

- Cllr Tressler tendered his apologies for the public meeting

22/13 Date of Next Meeting

The next ordinary meeting of the parish council is scheduled to take place on Monday, 14 February 2022 at 7.30pm at the Community Centre.

Meeting closed at 21:39

Signed.....Chairman Date.....

APPENDIX A

Financial Budget Comparison

Comparison between 01/04/21 and 06/01/22 inclusive. Includes due and unpaid transactions.

Excludes transactions with an invoice date prior to 01/04/21

		Budget 2021/2022	Reserve Movements	Actual Net	Balance
INCOME					
Bishops Itchington Parish Council					
10	Precept	£90,000.00	£0.00	£90,000.00	£0.00
20	Council Tax Support Grant	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
30	Burials	£2,000.00	£0.00	£2,880.00	£880.00
40	Sec 136 & Other Reimbursements	£1,300.00	£0.00	£0.00	-£1,300.00
50	Playing Field	£400.00	£0.00	£9.98	-£390.02
60	Interest	£100.00	£0.00	£6.62	-£93.38
70	Grants	£0.00	£0.00	£7,395.00	£7,395.00
80	Misc	£250.00	£0.00	£60.00	-£190.00
90	VAT Refund	£0.00	£0.00	£9,732.12	£9,732.12
100	Pavilion Fund	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Total Bishops Itchington Parish Council		£94,050.00	£0.00	£110,083.72	£16,033.72
Total Income		£94,050.00	£0.00	£110,083.72	£16,033.72
EXPENDITURE					
Bishops Itchington Parish Council					
200	Salaries & Expenses	£30,047.00	£0.00	£19,402.79	£10,644.21
210	Councillor Allowances	£500.00	£0.00	£0.00	£500.00
220	Administration	£7,320.00	£0.00	£6,445.50	£874.50
230	Grounds Maintenance	£21,914.00	£0.00	£17,453.34	£4,460.66
240	Cemetery & Churchyard	£5,340.00	£0.00	£1,087.31	£4,252.69
250	Playing Field	£10,692.00	£0.00	£821.70	£9,870.30
260	Grants	£7,500.00	£0.00	£6,894.80	£605.20
270	Neighbourhood Plan	£2,500.00	£0.00	£2,450.00	£50.00
280	Other Expenditure	£1,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£1,000.00
290	VAT	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
300	Contingency	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
310	Parish Office	£5,290.00	£0.00	£3,705.03	£1,584.97
320	Pavilion Project	£5,000.00	£0.00	£12,280.50	-£7,280.50
Total Bishops Itchington Parish Council		£97,103.00	£0.00	£70,540.97	£26,562.03
Total Expenditure		£97,103.00	£0.00	£70,540.97	£26,562.03
Total Income		£94,050.00	£0.00	£110,083.72	£16,033.72
Total Expenditure		£97,103.00	£0.00	£70,540.97	£26,562.03
Total Net Balance		-£3,053.00		£39,542.75	

APPENDIX B

Bishop's Itchington Parish Council				
Accounts Payable 10 January 2022				
To Whom Payable	Ref No	Ex Vat	Vat Payable	Totals
<i>Urgent accounts paid since last meeting requiring the formal approval of the council</i>				
E Biddle (office rent 04/01/22)	s/order	£ 411.67	£ -	£ 411.67
Onecom (phone & b/band 05/01/22)	d/debit	£ 63.73	£ 12.75	£ 76.48
Three Business (Mobile Sim 23/12/21)	d/debit	£ 4.17	£ 0.83	£ 5.00
E-ON (Pavilion Electricity 16/12/21)	d/debit	£ 96.01	£ 4.80	£ 100.81
Service Charge (31/12/21)		£ 26.70	£ -	£ 26.70
	Sub-total	£ 602.28	£ 18.38	£ 593.96
Accounts for payment on 10 January 2022				
J Kirtton (Salary)	220101	£ 47.50	£ -	£ 47.50
V Powell (Salary)	220102	£ 76.16	£ -	£ 76.16
K Stevens (Salary)	220103	£ 1,317.76	£ -	£ 1,317.76
HRMC (PAYE)	220104	£ 337.12	£ -	£ 337.12
WWC Pension Fund (November)	220105	£ 429.86	£ -	£ 429.86
CPRE (Membership)	220106	£ 36.00	£ -	£ 36.00
Light Media (Website Hosting)	220107	£ 90.00	£ 18.00	£ 108.00
RPM (Removal of Playground Equipment)	220108	£ 800.00	£ 160.00	£ 960.00
Russell Pike (Leaflet Printing)	220109	£ 199.00	£ 39.80	£ 238.80
Shakespeare Martineau (Professional Charges Memorial Hall)	220110	£ 900.00	£ 180.00	£ 1,080.00
Boyde Sport & Play Ltd (Tennis Nets and Posts)	220111	£ 720.00	£ 144.00	£ 864.00
Thomas Fox Ltd (Hedge Cutting/Shrub Boarders/Strimming/)	220112	£ 2,142.99	£ 428.60	£ 2,571.59
PWC (Bus Shelter)	220113	£ 45.00	£ -	£ 45.00
	Sub-total	£ 7,141.39	£ 970.40	£ 8,111.79
	TOTAL	£ 7,743.67	£ 988.78	£ 8,705.75
Invoices checked & agreed.				
Signed:	K Stevens RFO	Councillor	Councillor	